

# CERTAIN MODULI OF ALGEBRAIC $G$ -VECTOR BUNDLES OVER AFFINE $G$ -VARIETIES

KAYO MASUDA

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a reductive complex algebraic group and  $P$  a complex  $G$ -module with algebraic quotient of dimension  $\geq 1$ . We construct a map from a certain moduli space of algebraic  $G$ -vector bundles over  $P$  to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -module possibly of infinite dimension, which is an isomorphism under some conditions. We also show non-triviality of moduli of algebraic  $G$ -vector bundles over a  $G$ -stable affine hypersurface of some type. In particular, we show that the moduli space of algebraic  $G$ -vector bundles over a  $G$ -stable affine quadric with fixpoints and one-dimensional quotient contains  $\mathbb{C}^p$ .

## INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group defined over the ground field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. One of the most important problems in the theory of algebraic group action is to understand algebraic  $G$ -actions on affine space  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . The following problem is fundamental;

### Linearization Problem

Is every action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{A}^n$  linearizable, i.e., conjugate to a linear action under polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ ?

In 1989, Schwarz [23] presented the first examples of *non-linearizable* actions on affine space. In fact, he first showed that there exist *non-trivial* algebraic  $G$ -vector bundles over  $G$ -modules, and the non-linearizable actions appear on the total spaces of non-trivial algebraic  $G$ -vector bundles he found. An algebraic  $G$ -vector bundle  $E$  over an affine  $G$ -variety  $X$  is an algebraic vector bundle  $p : E \rightarrow X$  together with a  $G$ -action on  $E$  such that  $p$  is  $G$ -equivariant and the action on the fibers is linear. By definition, every fiber over the fixpoint locus  $X^G$  is a  $G$ -module. An algebraic  $G$ -vector bundle is called trivial if it is isomorphic to a  $G$ -vector bundle of the form  $X \times Q \rightarrow X$  for a  $G$ -module  $Q$ . When the base space is a  $G$ -module, if forgetting the  $G$ -action, the total space  $E$  is an affine space by the affirmative solution to the Serre Conjecture by Quillen [22] and Suslin [25]. So, the  $G$ -action

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 14R20; Secondary: 14D20.

on the total space of a non-trivial  $G$ -vector bundle over a  $G$ -module is a candidate for a non-linearizable action on affine space. In fact, there are some criteria for the  $G$ -action on  $E$  being non-linearizable ([1],[7],[18]). So far, all known examples of non-linearizable action are obtained from non-trivial algebraic  $G$ -vector bundles. For an abelian  $G$ , at this point, there are no counterexamples to the Linearization Problem; for, by Masuda-Moser-Petrie [19], every  $G$ -vector bundle over a  $G$ -module is trivial when  $G$  is abelian. The key point of their proof is to show that one can reduce triviality of a  $G$ -vector bundle over a  $G$ -module  $P$  to triviality of a vector bundle over the algebraic quotient space  $P//G$  (=the spectrum of the ring of  $G$ -invariant polynomials on  $P$ ). Since  $G$  is abelian,  $P//G$  is a normal affine toric variety, and triviality of a vector bundle over a normal affine toric variety was obtained by Gubeladze [5]. We refer to Kraft [10] for recent topics in affine algebraic geometry and algebraic group action related to the Linearization Problem.

In this article, we study algebraic  $G$ -vector bundles over affine  $G$ -varieties  $X$ , especially in the case that  $X$  is a  $G$ -module. Throughout this article, we assume that  $X$  is irreducible and smooth and that  $X^G$  is non-empty. We denote by  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)$  the set of equivariant isomorphism classes of algebraic  $G$ -vector bundles over  $X$  such that every fiber over  $X^G$  is isomorphic to a  $G$ -module  $Q$ . The isomorphism class of a  $G$ -vector bundle  $E \rightarrow X$  is denoted by  $[E]$ . Suppose that the base space is a  $G$ -module  $P$ . In this case, we have some information on  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)$  ([1], [2], [23], [11], [6], [18], [20]). By Bass-Haboush [1], every  $G$ -vector bundle over  $P$  is stably trivial, i.e., there exists a  $G$ -module  $S$  such that a Whitney sum  $E \oplus (P \times S)$  is trivial. For an abelian  $G$ ,  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)$  is trivial, i.e., a trivial set consisting of the trivial class  $[P \times Q]$  by Masuda-Moser-Petrie [19]. For a non-abelian  $G$ , if the dimension of  $P//G$  is at most one,  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)$  is well-understood. When  $\dim P//G = 0$ ,  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)$  is trivial ([2], [12]). When  $\dim P//G = 1$ , however,  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)$  can be non-trivial. Schwarz ([23], cf. Kraft-Schwarz [11]) showed that  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)$  is isomorphic to an additive group  $\mathbb{C}^p$  for a nonnegative integer  $p$ , and the non-trivial  $G$ -vector bundles found by Schwarz led to the first examples of non-linearizable actions on affine space, as is already mentioned above. The result of Schwarz extends to the case where the base space is a (not necessarily irreducible)  $G$ -stable affine cone  $X$  with one-dimensional quotient, namely, it holds that  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q) \cong \mathbb{C}^p$  for some  $p$  ([21],[15]). However, when  $\dim P//G \geq 2$ ,  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)$  is not finite-dimensional any more. In fact,  $\text{VEC}_G(P \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, Q)$  for a  $G$ -module  $P$  with one-dimensional quotient and a trivial  $G$ -module  $\mathbb{C}^m$  is isomorphic to the  $p$  times direct product of a polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$

where  $p$  is a nonnegative integer such that  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q) \cong \mathbb{C}^p$  [16]. Furthermore, Mederer [21] presented examples of  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)$  which contains an uncountably-infinite dimensional space for a finite group  $G$ . Using Mederer's result, it is shown that  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)$  can contain an uncountably-infinite dimensional space also for a connected group  $G$  [17]. However,  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)$  are not yet classified even when  $X$  is a  $G$ -module  $P$  with  $\dim P//G \geq 2$  except some special cases ([6], cf. [20]) and the cases mentioned above.

We denote by  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra of regular functions on  $X$  and by  $\mathcal{O}(X)^G$  the subalgebra of  $G$ -invariants of  $\mathcal{O}(X)$ . By the finiteness theorem of Hilbert,  $\mathcal{O}(X)^G$  is finitely generated and the algebraic quotient space  $X//G$  is defined to be  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(X)^G$ . Let  $\pi_X : X \rightarrow X//G$  be the algebraic quotient map, that is, the morphism induced by the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}(X)^G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ . Since  $X$  is irreducible,  $X//G$  is an irreducible affine variety (cf. [8]). By Luna's slice theorem [12], there is a finite stratification of  $X//G = \cup_i V_i$  into locally closed subvarieties  $V_i$  such that  $\pi_X|_{\pi_X^{-1}(V_i)} : \pi_X^{-1}(V_i) \rightarrow V_i$  is a  $G$ -fiber bundle (in the étale topology) and the isotropy groups of closed orbits in  $\pi_X^{-1}(V_i)$  are all conjugate to a fixed reductive subgroup  $H_i$ . The unique open dense stratum of  $X//G$ , which we denote by  $U$ , is called the principal stratum and the corresponding isotropy group, which we denote by  $H$ , is called a principal isotropy group. The principal isotropy group  $H$  is the minimal group among  $H_i$  up to conjugation. Suppose that  $\dim X//G \geq 1$ . We denote by  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0$  the subset of  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)$  consisting of elements which are trivial over  $\pi_X^{-1}(U)$  and  $\pi_X^{-1}(V)$  with fiber  $Q$  where  $V := X//G - U$ . Though we do not know how to compute  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)$ , it is not difficult to analyse  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0$  since every  $[E] \in \text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0$  is determined by a transition function with respect to two trivializations of  $E$ . In the case that  $X$  is a (not necessarily irreducible)  $G$ -stable affine cone with  $\dim X//G = 1$ , in particular, a  $G$ -module with one-dimensional quotient,  $\text{VEC}_G(X \times \mathbb{A}^m, Q)$  and  $\text{VEC}_G(X \times \mathbb{A}^m, Q)_0$  coincide and we can compute  $\text{VEC}_G(X \times \mathbb{A}^m, Q)_0$  by analysing transition functions ([11], [16]). We assume that the ideal of  $V$  is principal; for, if  $[E] \in \text{VEC}_G(X, Q)$  is trivial over  $\pi_X^{-1}(U)$  such that  $\pi_X^{-1}(V)$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$ , then  $E$  is trivial. Our first result is a classification of  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)_0$  for a  $G$ -module  $P$  with  $\dim P//G \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $P$  be a  $G$ -module such that  $\dim P//G \geq 2$  and the ideal of the complement of the principal stratum in  $P//G$  is principal. Let  $Q$  be a  $G$ -module. Then there exists a map*

$$\Psi_{P,Q} : \text{VEC}_G(P, Q)_0 \rightarrow C_P(Q).$$

Here  $C_P(Q)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -module possibly of infinite dimension (cf. 2.3). If  $Q$  is multiplicity free with respect to a principal isotropy group of  $P$  and if  $P$  has generically closed orbits, then  $\Psi_{P,Q}$  is an isomorphism.

Here, a  $G$ -module  $Q$  is called ‘‘multiplicity free with respect to a reductive subgroup  $H$ ’’ if every irreducible  $H$ -module appears in  $Q$ , viewed as an  $H$ -module, with multiplicity at most one, and we say ‘‘ $P$  has generically closed orbits’’ if every fiber of the quotient map  $\pi_P$  over the principal stratum consists of a closed orbit.

For any  $G$ -module  $P$  with one-dimensional quotient and any  $Q$ ,  $\Psi_{P \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, Q}$  in Theorem 1 is an isomorphism onto  $C_{P \oplus \mathbb{C}^m}(Q) \cong (\mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m])^P$ , which coincides with the isomorphism obtained in [16].

Next, we investigate  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0$  for an affine quadric  $X$ . An affine quadric of dimension  $N$  is an affine hypersurface  $X := \{(x_0, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^N x_i^2 = 1\}$ . We suppose that  $G$  is connected and acts on an affine quadric  $X$  in such a way that the kernel of the action is finite. Suppose also that  $X^G$  is not empty and  $\dim X//G = 1$ . Then by Doebeli ([3] [4]),  $X$  is  $G$ -isomorphic to an affine quadric  $X_P := \{(x, v) \in P \oplus \mathbb{C} \mid u(x) + v^2 = 1\}$ , where  $P$  is an orthogonal  $G$ -module with  $P//G \cong \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $u(x) \in \mathcal{O}(P)^G$  is an invariant quadratic form generating  $\mathcal{O}(P)^G$ . The  $G$ -action on  $X_P$  is the one induced by the linear action on  $P$ . This time, however, the situation is rather different from that in the case of  $G$ -modules. The fixpoint locus  $X_P^G$  consists of two points  $\{(O, \pm 1)\}$  where  $O$  is the origin of  $P$ , whereas the fixpoint locus of a  $G$ -module is an affine space, hence connected. Though  $X_P//G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[v]$ ,  $V$  of  $X_P//G$  consists of two points  $\{v = \pm 1\}$ , hence  $V$  of  $X_P//G$  is disconnected. For a  $G$ -module,  $V$  is connected since  $V$  is defined by invariant homogeneous polynomials. Thus we cannot apply methods in case of  $G$ -modules directly to a case of an affine quadric. While, note that  $X$  is viewed as a  $G \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ -variety, where  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  acts on  $X \cong X_P \subset P \oplus \mathbb{C}$  via a (non-trivial) linear action on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $X/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong P$  as a  $G$ -variety. It is easy to see that the quotient map  $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_2} : X \rightarrow X/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong P$  induces an injection  $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^* : \text{VEC}_G(P, Q) \rightarrow \text{VEC}_G(X, Q)$  (cf. [9]). Since  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q) \cong \mathbb{C}^p$  by the result of Schwarz,  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)$  contains a space isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^p$ . We generalize this and obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $P$  be a  $G$ -module with  $\dim P//G \geq 1$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{O}(P)^G$  and an integer  $d \geq 2$ , let  $X_P(f, d)$  be a  $G$ -stable hypersurface  $\{(x, v) \in P \oplus \mathbb{C} \mid f(x) + v^d = 1\}$ . Then, the quotient map  $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_d} : X_P(f, d) \rightarrow X_P(f, d)/(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}) \cong P$  induces an injection for any  $G$ -module  $Q$*

$$\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_d}^* : \text{VEC}_G(P, Q) \rightarrow \text{VEC}_G(X_P(f, d), Q).$$

Hence, if  $\Psi_{P,Q}$  in Theorem 1 is a surjection onto a non-trivial  $C_P(Q)$ , then  $\text{VEC}_G(X_P(f, d), Q)$  is non-trivial, too.

This article consists of three parts. In section 1, we investigate  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0$  for an irreducible smooth affine  $G$ -variety  $X$  by analysing transition functions of  $G$ -vector bundles. We have in mind as an  $X$  a  $G$ -module. Our technique is based on the one established by Kraft-Schwarz [11]. Using the results obtained in section 1, we prove Theorem 1 in section 2. We compute  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)_0$  explicitly in examples. In section 3, we investigate  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0$  in the case where  $V$  is not connected, in particular, in the case where  $X$  is a  $G$ -stable affine hypersurface represented by an affine quadric with fixpoints and one-dimensional quotient.

The author expresses her gratitude to Professor M. Brion for suggesting to observe algebraic  $G$ -vector bundles over affine quadrics. She thanks also M. Miyanishi for his encouragement.

## 1. GENERAL RESULTS

Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group and  $X$  an irreducible smooth affine  $G$ -variety. We assume that the dimension of  $Y := X//G$  is greater than 0 and the ideal of  $V = Y - U$  is principal, where  $U$  is the principal stratum of  $Y$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y) = \mathcal{O}(X)^G$  be a generator of the ideal of  $V$ . We assume also that  $X^G$  is non-empty, connected and  $X^H$  is irreducible where  $H$  is a principal isotropy group of  $X$ . The object we have in mind as an  $X$  is a  $G$ -module. We will investigate  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0$  for a  $G$ -module  $Q$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $[E] \in \text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0$ . Then  $E$  is trivial over  $X_h := \{x \in X \mid h(x) \neq 0\}$  where  $h$  is an element of  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  such that  $h - 1$  is contained in the ideal  $(f)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $E|_{\pi_X^{-1}(V)}$  is, by the assumption, isomorphic to a trivial bundle, it follows from the Equivariant Nakayama Lemma [2] that the trivialization  $E|_{\pi_X^{-1}(V)} \rightarrow \pi_X^{-1}(V) \times Q$  extends to a trivialization over a  $G$ -stable open neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of  $\pi_X^{-1}(V)$ . Let  $\tilde{V}$  be the complement of  $\tilde{U}$  in  $X$ . Since  $\tilde{V}$  is a  $G$ -stable closed set,  $\pi_X(\tilde{V})$  is closed in  $Y$  [8]. Note that  $V \cap \pi_X(\tilde{V}) = \emptyset$  since  $\pi_X^{-1}(V) \cap \tilde{V} = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathcal{O}(Y)$  be the ideal which defines  $\pi_X(\tilde{V})$ . Then  $(f) + \mathfrak{J} \ni 1$  since  $V \cap \pi_X(\tilde{V}) = \emptyset$ . Hence there exists an  $h \in \mathfrak{J}$  such that  $h - 1 \in (f)$ . Since  $Y_h \subset Y - \pi_X(\tilde{V})$ ,  $X_h = \pi_X^{-1}(Y_h) \subset \pi_X^{-1}(Y - \pi_X(\tilde{V})) \subset \tilde{U}$ . Thus  $E$  is trivial over  $X_h$ .  $\square$

We define an affine scheme  $\tilde{Y} = \text{Spec } \tilde{A}$  by

$$\tilde{A} = \{h_1/h_2 \mid h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{O}(Y), h_2 - 1 \in (f)\}.$$

Set  $\tilde{Y}_f := Y_f \times_Y \tilde{Y}$ ,  $\tilde{X} := \tilde{Y} \times_Y X$  and  $\tilde{X}_f := \tilde{Y}_f \times_Y X$ . The group of morphisms from  $X$  to  $M := \text{GL}(Q)$  is denoted by  $\text{Mor}(X, M)$  or  $M(X)$ . The group  $G$  acts on  $M$  by conjugation via the representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(Q)$ . The action of  $G$  on  $M(X)$  is defined by  $(g \cdot \mu)(x) = \rho(g)\mu(g^{-1}x)\rho(g)^{-1}$  for  $g \in G$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\mu \in M(X)$ . We denote the group of  $G$ -invariants of  $M(X)$  by  $\text{Mor}(X, M)^G$  or  $M(X)^G$ . Let  $[E] \in \text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0$ . Then by the definition of  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0$ ,  $E$  has a trivialization over  $\pi_X^{-1}(U) = X_f$ , and by Lemma 1.1  $E$  has a trivialization also over an open neighborhood of  $\pi_X^{-1}(V)$ , i.e.,  $X_h$  for some  $h \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  such that  $h - 1 \in (f)$ . Hence, assigning to  $[E]$  the transition function with respect to the trivializations  $E|_{X_f} \cong X_f \times Q$  and  $E|_{X_h} \cong X_h \times Q$ , we have a bijection to a double coset (cf. [15, 3.4])

$$\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0 \cong M(X_f)^G \backslash M(\tilde{X}_f)^G / M(\tilde{X})^G.$$

Since  $X^H$  is irreducible, the inclusion  $X^H \hookrightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism  $X^H // N(H) \xrightarrow{\sim} X // G$  where  $N(H)$  is the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$  [14]. Set  $W := N(H)/H$ . When we consider  $X^H$  as a  $W$ -variety, we denote it by  $B$ . Note that the principal isotropy group of  $B$  is trivial. Let  $\beta : M(X)^G \rightarrow L(B)^W$  be the restriction map where  $L := \text{GL}(Q)^H$ . We say  $X$  has *generically closed orbits* if  $\pi_X^{-1}(\xi)$  for any  $\xi \in Y_f$  consists of a closed orbit, i.e.  $\pi_X^{-1}(\xi) \cong G/H$ . When  $X$  has generically closed orbits,  $GX_f^H = X_f$ . Hence  $M(X_f)^G = \text{Mor}(GX_f^H, \text{GL}(Q))^G \cong L(B_f)^W$ , i.e.,  $\beta$  is an isomorphism over  $Y_f$ . The group homomorphism  $\beta$  induces a map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0 &\cong M(X_f)^G \backslash M(\tilde{X}_f)^G / M(\tilde{X})^G \\ &\rightarrow L(B_f)^W \backslash L(\tilde{B}_f)^W / \beta(M(\tilde{X})^G), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

which is an isomorphism when  $X$  has generically closed orbits.

We decompose  $Q$  as an  $H$ -module

$$Q \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^q n_i Q_i$$

where  $Q_i$  are pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible  $H$ -modules and  $n_i$  is the multiplicity of  $Q_i$ . We call  $Q$  *multiplicity free* with respect to  $H$  if  $n_i = 1$  for all  $i$ . It follows from Schur's lemma that

$$L = \text{GL}(Q)^H \cong \prod_{i=1}^q \text{GL}_{n_i}.$$

Let  $T$  be the center of  $L$ . Then  $T$  is  $W$ -stable and  $T \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^q$ . When  $Q$  is multiplicity free with respect to  $H$ ,  $L = T$ . Look at the action of  $W$  on  $T$ . Note that  $g \in N(H)$  permutes the  $H$ -isotypic components  $n_i Q_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, q$ ). Since  $w \in W$  acts on  $L$  by conjugation by  $\rho(g)$  where  $g \in N(H)$  is a representative of  $w$ ,  $W$  acts on  $T \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^q$  by permuting  $\mathbb{C}^*$ s. Hence  $W$  acts on  $T$  as a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S_q$  via a continuous homomorphism from  $W$  to  $S_q$ . Thus the connected component  $W_0$  of  $W$  containing the identity acts trivially on  $T$  and the action of  $W$  on  $T$  reduces to the action of  $W/W_0$ . The determinant map on each factor  $GL_{n_i}$  of  $L$  induces a homomorphism of groups;  $\tau : L(B)^W \rightarrow T(B)^W$ . The homomorphism  $\tau$  induces a map

$$L(B_f)^W \backslash L(\tilde{B}_f)^W / \beta(M(\tilde{X})^G) \rightarrow T(\tilde{B}_f)^W / (T(B_f)^W (\tau \circ \beta) M(\tilde{X})^G). \quad (2)$$

By (1) and (2), we have

**Lemma 1.2.** *There exists a map*

$$\psi_{X,Q} : \text{VEC}_G(X, Q)_0 \rightarrow T(\tilde{B}_f)^W / (T(B_f)^W (\tau \circ \beta) M(\tilde{X})^G).$$

*If  $Q$  is multiplicity free with respect to  $H$  and  $X$  has generically closed orbits, then  $\psi_{X,Q}$  is an isomorphism.*

**REMARKS** 1. For  $t \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ , let  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q; t)$  be the subset of  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q)$  consisting of elements  $[E]$  such that  $E$  is trivial over  $\pi_X^{-1}(Y_t)$  and its complement. Then one obtains, in a similar way to the above, a map from  $\text{VEC}_G(X, Q; t)$  to a quotient group.

2. When  $H$  is trivial,  $M = L$  and the target residue group in Lemma 1.2 is  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{Y}_f)^* / \mathcal{O}(Y_f)^* \tau(M(\tilde{X})^G)$ , where  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{Y}_f)^*$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}(Y_f)^*$ ) denotes the group of invertible elements in  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{Y}_f)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}(Y_f)$ ). If  $Q$  contains a trivial  $G$ -module, then  $\tau = \det : M(\tilde{X})^G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{Y})^*$  is surjective. Furthermore, if  $\text{Pic } Y = (0)$ , then the residue group  $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{Y}_f)^* / \mathcal{O}(Y_f)^* \tau(M(\tilde{X})^G)$  becomes trivial (cf. proof of Lemma 1.3). Thus when  $H$  is trivial and  $\text{Pic } Y = (0)$  (e.g.  $X$  is a  $G$ -module with a trivial principal isotropy group),  $\psi_{X,Q}$  becomes trivial if  $Q$  contains a trivial  $G$ -module.

We will analyse the target residue group in Lemma 1.2. We pose the following conditions:

- (I)  $V$  is connected and  $\mathcal{O}(\pi_B^{-1}(V))^* = \mathbb{C}^*$ .
- (II) The restriction  $\mathcal{O}(\pi_B^{-1}(V))^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X^G)^*$  is an isomorphism.

It follows from the conditions (I) and (II) that the restriction of  $\pi_B^{-1}(V)$  onto  $X^G$  induces an isomorphism  $T(\pi_B^{-1}(V))^W \cong T^W(X^G) \cong T^W$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} T(\tilde{B})_1 &:= \{\mu \in T(\tilde{B}) \mid \mu|_{\pi_B^{-1}(V)} = I\} \\ T(\tilde{B})_1^W &:= T(\tilde{B})_1 \cap T(\tilde{B})^W \end{aligned}$$

where  $I$  is the constant map to the identity element of  $T$ . Note that  $T(\tilde{B}) = T(\tilde{B})_1 T(\pi_B^{-1}(V)) = T(\tilde{B})_1 T$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *Suppose that the conditions (I) and (II) are satisfied. If  $\text{Pic } B = (0)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(B)^* = \mathbb{C}^*$ , then*

$$T(\tilde{B}_f)^W = T(B_f)^W T(\tilde{B})_1^W.$$

**Proof.** We first claim that  $T(\tilde{B}_f) = T(B_f)T(\tilde{B})_1$ . Since  $T(\tilde{B}) = T(\tilde{B})_1 T$ , it suffices to prove  $T(\tilde{B}_f) = T(B_f)T(\tilde{B})$ . Note that every element of  $T(\tilde{B}_f)$  is considered as a transition function of a Whitney sum of line bundles over  $B$  with respect to trivializations over  $B_f$  and an open neighborhood of  $\pi_B^{-1}(V)$ . Since  $\text{Pic } B = (0)$ , every line bundle over  $B$  is trivial. This implies that  $T(\tilde{B}_f) = T(B_f)T(\tilde{B})$ . Let  $\mu \in T(\tilde{B}_f)^W$ . Write  $\mu = \dot{\mu}\tilde{\mu}$  with  $\dot{\mu} \in T(B_f)$  and  $\tilde{\mu} \in T(\tilde{B})_1$ . Note that  $T(B_f) \cap T(\tilde{B})_1 = T(B)_1 = \{I\}$  since  $\mathcal{O}(B)^* = \mathbb{C}^*$ . Since  $\mu$  is  $W$ -invariant, we have  $\dot{\mu}^{-1}(w \cdot \dot{\mu}) = \tilde{\mu}(w \cdot \tilde{\mu})^{-1} \in T(B_f) \cap T(\tilde{B})_1 = \{I\}$  for every  $w \in W$ . Hence  $\dot{\mu}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  are  $W$ -invariant, and the assertion is thus verified.  $\square$

Set

$$M(\tilde{X})_1^G := \{\mu \in M(\tilde{X})^G \mid \mu|_{X^G} = I\}.$$

Note that  $(\tau \circ \beta)(M(\tilde{X})_1^G) \subset T(\tilde{B})_1^W$  under the conditions (I) and (II).

**Lemma 1.4.** *Suppose that the assumptions in Lemma 1.3 are satisfied. If there exists a  $G$ -equivariant morphism  $r : X \rightarrow X^G$  such that  $r \circ i = \text{id}$  where  $i : X^G \hookrightarrow X$  is the inclusion, then there exists an isomorphism*

$$T(\tilde{B}_f)^W / (T(B_f)^W (\tau \circ \beta) M(\tilde{X})_1^G) \cong T(\tilde{B})_1^W / (\tau \circ \beta) (M(\tilde{X})_1^G).$$

**Proof.** We claim that  $(\tau \circ \beta) M(\tilde{X})_1^G \subset (\tau \circ \beta) (M(\tilde{X})_1^G) T^W$ . In fact, let  $\mu \in M(\tilde{X})_1^G$  and  $\mu_0 := \mu|_{X^G} \in M^G(X^G)$ . Then  $(\tau \circ \beta)\mu_0 \in T^W(X^G) \cong T^W$ . Let  $p : M^G(X^G) \rightarrow M(X)^G$  be the group homomorphism induced by  $r$ . Then  $\tilde{\mu} := p(\mu_0) \in M(X)^G$  satisfies  $\tilde{\mu}|_{X^G} = \mu_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}(B)^* = \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $(\tau \circ \beta)\tilde{\mu} \in T^W$ . The claim follows from that  $\mu = \mu_1 \tilde{\mu}$  where  $\mu_1 = \mu \tilde{\mu}^{-1} \in M(\tilde{X})_1^G$ . Since  $(\tau \circ \beta) M(\tilde{X})_1^G \subset (\tau \circ \beta) (M(\tilde{X})_1^G) T^W$  and  $T(B_f)^W \cap T(\tilde{B})_1^W = T(B)_1^W = \{I\}$ , we obtain by Lemma 1.3 the desired isomorphism.  $\square$

We proceed to analyse the residue group  $T(\tilde{B})_1^W / (\tau \circ \beta) (M(\tilde{X})_1^G)$ .



Let  $\hat{Y}$  be the completion of  $Y$  along  $V$  and let  $\hat{B} = \hat{Y} \times_Y B$  and  $\hat{X} = \hat{Y} \times_Y X$ . Note that an element of  $M(\hat{X})^G$  (resp.  $T(\hat{B})^W$ ) is considered as an invertible matrix (resp. an invertible diagonal matrix) with entries in  $\mathcal{O}(\hat{X})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}(\hat{B})$ ) invariant under the  $G$ -action (resp. the  $W$ -action). For  $r \geq 1$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} T(\hat{B})_r^W &:= \{\mu \in T(\hat{B})^W \mid \mu = I \pmod{\mathfrak{b}^r \mathcal{O}(\hat{B})}\} \\ M(\hat{X})_r^G &:= \{\mu \in M(\hat{X})^G \mid \mu = I \pmod{\mathfrak{a}^r \mathcal{O}(\hat{X})}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$  denotes the ideal of  $X^G \subset X$  and  $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathcal{O}(B)$  denotes the ideal of  $\pi_B^{-1}(V)$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{b} = \sqrt{(f)}$ . We define  $L(\hat{B})_r^W$ , similarly. Then there exists a canonical map

$$T(\tilde{B})_1^W / (\tau \circ \beta)(M(\tilde{X})_1^G) \rightarrow T(\hat{B})_1^W / (\tau \circ \beta)(M(\hat{X})_1^G).$$

We will show that this canonical map is a surjection when  $X$  has generically closed orbits. First, we prove

**Lemma 1.5.** *For every  $r \geq 1$ ,*

$$T(\hat{B})_1^W = T(\tilde{B})_1^W T(\hat{B})_r^W.$$

**Proof.** It is clear that  $T(\hat{B})_1^W \supset T(\tilde{B})_1^W T(\hat{B})_r^W$ . We show the opposite inclusion. Let  $\mu = (\mu_1(x), \dots, \mu_q(x)) \in T(\hat{B})_1^W$  where  $\mu_i(x) \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{B})$  and  $\mu_i = 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{b} \mathcal{O}(\hat{B})}$ . Recall that  $W$  acts on  $T \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^q$  by permuting  $\mathbb{C}^*$ s. Since the identity component  $W_0$  acts trivially on  $T$ ,  $\mu_i(x) \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{B})^{W_0}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq q$ . Let  $\bar{\mu}_i(x) \in \mathcal{O}(B)^{W_0}$  be a function such that  $\mu_i(x) = \bar{\mu}_i(x) \pmod{\mathfrak{b}^r \mathcal{O}(\hat{B})}$ . Since  $\mu_i = 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{b} \mathcal{O}(\hat{B})}$ ,  $\bar{\mu}_i = 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}}$ . Define  $\bar{\mu} := (\bar{\mu}_1(x), \dots, \bar{\mu}_q(x))$  and  $\tilde{\mu} := \prod_{w \in W/W_0} w \cdot \bar{\mu}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mu} \in T(\tilde{B})_1^W$  and  $\tilde{\mu}^{-1} \mu \in T(\hat{B})_r^W$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\mathfrak{t}$  be the Lie algebras of  $M$ ,  $L$  and  $T$ , respectively. Then  $\mathfrak{m} = \text{End } Q$ ,  $\mathfrak{l} = \text{End } (Q)^H \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^q M_{n_i}$  and  $\mathfrak{t} \cong \mathbb{C}^q$  where  $M_{n_i}$  denotes an  $(n_i \times n_i)$ -matrix. Let  $\beta_* : \mathfrak{m}(X)^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}(B)^W$  be the homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ -modules induced by the restriction of  $X$  onto  $B$ . Similarly, let  $\tau_* : \mathfrak{l}(B)^W \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}(B)^W$  be the homomorphism induced by the trace map on each  $M_{n_i}$  of  $\mathfrak{l} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^q M_{n_i}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{t}(B)^W &\cong (\mathcal{O}(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{t})^W, & \mathfrak{l}(B)^W &\cong (\mathcal{O}(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{l})^W \\ &\text{and } \mathfrak{m}(X)^G &\cong (\mathcal{O}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{m})^G, \end{aligned}$$

which are all finitely generated modules over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  (cf. [8, II,3.2]). For a positive integer  $r$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{t}(B)_r^W &:= (\mathfrak{b}^r \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{t})^W, \\ \mathfrak{l}(B)_r^W &:= (\mathfrak{b}^r \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{l})^W, \\ \mathfrak{m}(X)_r^G &:= (\mathfrak{a}^r \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{m})^G, \end{aligned}$$

which are also finitely generated modules over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ . We define  $\mathfrak{t}(\hat{B})_r^W$ ,  $\mathfrak{l}(\hat{B})_r^W$  and  $\mathfrak{m}(\hat{X})_r^G$ , similarly. The exponentials  $\exp : \mathfrak{l} \rightarrow L$  and  $\exp : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow M$  induce isomorphisms (with inverse  $\log$ )  $\mathfrak{l}(\hat{B})_r^W \xrightarrow{\sim} L(\hat{B})_r^W$  and  $\mathfrak{m}(\hat{X})_r^G \xrightarrow{\sim} M(\hat{X})_r^G$  (Here, the latter exponential series converges in the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -adic topology).

**Lemma 1.6.** *Suppose that  $X$  has generically closed orbits. Then there exists an integer  $r_0$  such that  $\beta_* \mathfrak{m}(X)_1^G \supset \mathfrak{l}(B)_r^W$  and  $\beta(M(\hat{X})_1^G) \supset L(\hat{B})_r^W$  for all  $r \geq r_0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{C_i\}$  and  $\{A_j\}$  be generating systems of  $\mathfrak{l}(B)_1^W$  and  $\mathfrak{m}(X)_1^G$  over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ , respectively. Since  $X$  has generically closed orbits,  $\beta_* : \mathfrak{m}(X_f)^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}(B_f)^W$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $C_i$  is written as  $C_i = \beta_*(\sum_j c_{ij} A_j)$  where  $c_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(Y)_f$ . Let  $e_{ij} \geq 0$  be the minimal integer such that  $f^{e_{ij}} c_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  and  $d$  be the minimal integer such that  $\mathfrak{b}^d \subseteq (f)$ . Put  $e := \max_{i,j} \{e_{ij}\}$  and  $r_0 := de + 1$ . Then for  $r \geq r_0$ , any element of  $\mathfrak{l}(B)_r^W$  is of the form  $f^e C$  where  $C \in \mathfrak{l}(B)_1^W$ . Since  $C = \sum_i c_i C_i$  for  $c_i \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  and  $f^e c_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  for every  $i, j$ , so  $f^e C \in \beta_* \mathfrak{m}(X)_1^G$ . Hence  $\beta_* \mathfrak{m}(X)_1^G \supset \mathfrak{l}(B)_r^W$ . The second inclusion follows from  $\beta_* \mathfrak{m}(\hat{X})_1^G \supset \mathfrak{l}(\hat{B})_r^W$  via the exponential maps.  $\square$

**REMARK** In order to prove Lemma 1.6, it is sufficient to hold that  $\beta_* : \mathfrak{m}(X_f)^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}(B_f)^W$  is surjective.

Since  $\tau_* : \mathfrak{l}(\hat{B})_r^W \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}(\hat{B})_r^W$  is the trace map,  $\tau_*$  is surjective. Hence, via the exponential maps,  $T(\hat{B})_r^W = \tau(L(\hat{B})_r^W)$ . Under the assumption in Lemma 1.6,  $T(\hat{B})_r^W = \tau(L(\hat{B})_r^W) \subset (\tau \circ \beta)(M(\hat{X})_1^G)$  for a sufficiently large  $r$ . By this together with Lemma 1.5, we obtain

**Lemma 1.7.** *Suppose that  $X$  has generically closed orbits. Then the canonical map*

$$T(\tilde{B})_1^W / (\tau \circ \beta)(M(\tilde{X})_1^G) \rightarrow T(\hat{B})_1^W / (\tau \circ \beta)(M(\hat{X})_1^G)$$

*is a surjection. Furthermore, if  $Q$  is multiplicity free with respect to  $H$ , then  $L(\tilde{B})_1^W / \beta(M(\tilde{X})_1^G) \rightarrow L(\hat{B})_1^W / \beta(M(\hat{X})_1^G)$  is an isomorphism.*

**Proof.** The first assertion is clear from the above statement. As for the second assertion, it suffices to show that the canonical map

is injective. We will show that  $\beta(M(\hat{X})_1^G) \cap L(\tilde{B})_1^W \subset \beta(M(\tilde{X})_1^G)$ . Let  $\hat{D} \in M(\hat{X})_1^G$  and  $\beta(\hat{D}) \in \beta(M(\hat{X})_1^G) \cap L(\tilde{B})_1^W$ . We regard  $\hat{D}$  as an element of  $\mathfrak{m}(\hat{X})^G$  and show that  $\hat{D} \in \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{X})^G$ . Since  $\beta(\hat{D}) \in L(\tilde{B})_1^W$  is translated as  $\beta_*(\hat{D}) \in \mathfrak{l}(\tilde{B})^W$ , it follows from Lemma 1.6 that  $f^r \beta_*(\hat{D}) = \beta_*(\tilde{D})$  for a sufficiently large  $r$  and  $\tilde{D} \in \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{X})^G$ . Since  $X$  has generically closed orbits,  $\beta_* : \mathfrak{m}(X_f)^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}(B_f)^W$  is an isomorphism, so,  $\beta_* : \mathfrak{m}(X)^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}(B)^W$  is an injection. Hence  $\beta_* : \mathfrak{m}(\hat{X})^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}(\hat{B})^W$  is also an injection. Thus  $f^r \hat{D} = \tilde{D}$ . This implies that  $\hat{D} \in \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{X})^G$ . Hence  $\hat{D} \in M(\tilde{X})_1^G$  and the assertion follows.  $\square$

The logarithmic map induces an isomorphism

$$T(\hat{B})_1^W / (\tau \circ \beta)(M(\hat{X})_1^G) \cong \mathfrak{t}(\hat{B})_1^W / \tau_* \beta_* \mathfrak{m}(\hat{X})_1^G.$$

We set

$$C_X(Q) := \mathfrak{t}(\hat{B})_1^W / \tau_* \beta_* \mathfrak{m}(\hat{X})_1^G.$$

When  $Q$  is multiplicity free with respect to  $H$ ,  $C_X(Q) = \mathfrak{l}(\hat{B})_1^W / \beta_* \mathfrak{m}(\hat{X})_1^G$ .

By the results obtained so far, we have

**Theorem 1.8.** *There exists a map*

$$T(\tilde{B})_1^W / (\tau \circ \beta)(M(\tilde{X})_1^G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}(\hat{B})_1^W / \tau_* \beta_* \mathfrak{m}(\hat{X})_1^G = C_X(Q),$$

which is an isomorphism when  $Q$  is multiplicity free with respect to  $H$  and  $X$  has generically closed orbits.

## 2. $G$ -VECTOR BUNDLES OVER $G$ -MODULES

In this section, we consider the case where the base space  $X$  is a  $G$ -module  $P$  and give a proof of Theorem 1 in the introduction. Let  $P$  be a  $G$ -module such that  $Y = P//G$  is of dimension  $\geq 1$  and the ideal of  $V = Y - U$  is principal. Note that the ideal of  $V$  is generated by an invariant homogeneous polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{O}(P)^G$  and that  $V$  is connected. Let  $H$  be a principal isotropy group of  $P$  and let  $B = P^H$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** (1)  $\text{Pic } B = (0)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(B)^* = \mathcal{O}(P^G)^* = \mathbb{C}^*$ .

(2)  $\pi_B^{-1}(V)$  is a connected affine cone and  $\mathcal{O}(\pi_B^{-1}(V))^* = \mathbb{C}^*$ .

**Proof.** (1) The assertion follows from the fact that  $B$  and  $P^G$  are affine spaces.

(2) One easily sees that  $\pi_B^{-1}(V)$  is a connected affine cone. Indeed,  $\pi_B^{-1}(V)$  is a union of irreducible reduced affine cones  $\cup_j \text{Spec } R^{(j)}$  passing through the origin. Each affine cone  $\text{Spec } R^{(j)}$  has a positively graded integral domain  $R^{(j)} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} R_k^{(j)}$  as the coordinate ring such

that  $R_0^{(j)} = \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $(R^{(j)})^* = \mathbb{C}^*$  for each  $j$ , the standard argument in commutative algebras shows that  $\mathcal{O}(\pi_B^{-1}(V))^* = \mathbb{C}^*$ .  $\square$

The projection  $p : P \rightarrow P^G$  is  $G$ -equivariant and has the property  $p \circ i = id$  for the inclusion  $i : P^G \hookrightarrow P$ . By this fact and the results obtained so far, we obtain a map  $\Psi_{P,Q}$  for a  $G$ -module  $Q$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{VEC}_G(P, Q)_0 &\xrightarrow{\psi_{P,Q}} T(\tilde{B}_f)^W / (T(B_f)^W (\tau \circ \beta) M(\tilde{P})^G) \quad (\text{Lemma 1.2}) \\ &\cong T(\tilde{B})_1^W / (\tau \circ \beta)(M(\tilde{P})_1^G) \quad (\text{Lemmas 1.4, 2.1}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathfrak{t}(\hat{B})_1^W / \tau_* \beta_* \mathfrak{m}(\hat{P})_1^G = C_P(Q) \quad (\text{Theorem 1.8}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $P$  be a  $G$ -module as above and let  $Q$  be a  $G$ -module. There is a map*

$$\Psi_{P,Q} : \mathrm{VEC}_G(P, Q)_0 \rightarrow C_P(Q)$$

*which is an isomorphism when  $Q$  is multiplicity free with respect to  $H$  and  $P$  has generically closed orbits.*

**REMARKS** 1. Let  $P$  be any  $G$ -module and let  $t$  be a  $G$ -invariant homogeneous polynomial on  $P$ . We use the notation in the remark of Lemma 1.2. By the construction similar to the above, one obtains a map

$$\Psi_{P,Q}(t) : \mathrm{VEC}_G(P, Q; t) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}(\hat{B})_1^W / \tau_* \beta_* \mathfrak{m}(\hat{P})_1^G =: C_{P,t}(Q)$$

where the completion is  $(t)$ -adic completion. One can show that  $\Psi_{P,Q}(t)$  is surjective for any  $G$ -module  $Q$  if one takes  $t \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  so that  $Y_t$  is contained in the principal stratum of  $\overline{GP^H}$  (cf. [15, 1.1], [2, 6.5]).

2. When  $H$  is trivial and  $Q$  contains a trivial  $G$ -module,  $\psi_{P,Q}$  is trivial (remark of Lemma 1.2), hence  $\Psi_{P,Q}$  is also trivial.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1 in the introduction except the statement on  $C_P(Q)$ . Note that Theorem 1 holds also in the case  $\dim P//G = 1$ . When  $\dim P//G = 1$ , it is known that  $P//G \cong \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $\mathrm{VEC}_G(P \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, Q) = \mathrm{VEC}_G(P \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, Q)_0$  for  $m \geq 0$  ([11], [16]). Suppose that  $\dim P//G = 1$ . Then  $C_P(Q)$  is a finite  $\mathbb{C}$ -module by the formula (3) below (cf. Lemma 2.3) and  $C_{P \oplus \mathbb{C}^m}(Q) \cong (\mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m])^p$  by easy calculation. By comparing  $\Psi_{P \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, Q}$  with the isomorphism  $\mathrm{VEC}_G(P \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m])^p$  given in [16] (cf. [11]), one sees that  $\Psi_{P \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, Q}$  for  $m \geq 0$  is an isomorphism for any  $P$  and  $Q$ .

Now, we look at  $C_P(Q)$  more closely. A  $G$ -module  $P$  is called *cofree* if  $\mathcal{O}(P)$  is a free module over  $\mathcal{O}(P)^G$ . It is known that cofree modules are coregular, i.e.,  $P//G$  is isomorphic to affine space (cf. [24]). Furthermore, if  $P^H$  is a cofree  $N(H)$ -module, then  $P$  is a cofree  $G$ -module

[24]. We suppose that  $B$  is a cofree  $W$ -module and make some observation on  $C_P(Q)$ . Then,  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  is isomorphic to a polynomial ring and  $\mathfrak{m}(P)^G$  and  $\mathfrak{t}(B)^W$  are finite free modules over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{b}$  is principal,  $\mathfrak{t}(B)_1^W$  is also a finite free module over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ . The rank of  $\mathfrak{t}(B)_1^W$  is the same as the rank of  $\mathfrak{t}(B)^W$ , which is equal to  $q = \dim \mathfrak{t}$  [24]. Note that  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}(P)^G$  and  $\mathfrak{t}(B)^W$  inherit a grading on  $\mathcal{O}(P)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  are homogeneous ideals,  $\mathfrak{m}(P)_1^G$  and  $\mathfrak{t}(B)_1^W$  are also graded. Let  $\{A_i; 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$  be a homogeneous generating system of  $\mathfrak{m}(P)_1^G$  over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  and let  $\{C_i; 1 \leq i \leq q\}$  be a homogeneous basis of  $\mathfrak{t}(B)_1^W$  over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Then

$$\tau_*\beta_*A_i = \sum_{j=1}^q a_{ij}C_j \quad \text{for } a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(Y).$$

Noting that  $\mathfrak{t}(\hat{B})_1^W = \mathfrak{t}(B)_1^W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(Y)} \mathcal{O}(\hat{Y})$  and  $\mathfrak{m}(\hat{P})_1^G = \mathfrak{m}(P)_1^G \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(Y)} \mathcal{O}(\hat{Y})$ ,

$$C_P(Q) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^q \mathcal{O}(\hat{Y})/\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j \quad (3)$$

where  $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_j = \mathfrak{a}_j \mathcal{O}(\hat{Y})$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_j$  is the ideal in  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  generated by  $\{a_{ij}; 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$ . Let  $e_j = \deg C_j$  and  $a_i = \deg A_i$ . Since  $\tau_*$  and  $\beta_*$  preserve the grading,  $\deg a_{ij} = a_i - e_j$  if  $a_{ij} \neq 0$ . The following is easily proved.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $B$  is cofree. If there is some  $j$  such that  $a_i > e_j$  for any  $i$ , then  $C_P(Q)$  is non-trivial. If there exists some  $j$  such that  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a}_j < \dim Y$ , then  $C_P(Q)$  is an infinite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -module.*

**REMARK** The module  $C_P(Q)$  can be of infinite dimension, but of countably-infinite dimension.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. By Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have

**Corollary 2.4.** *Suppose that  $\Psi_{P,Q}$  in Theorem 2.2 is surjective and  $B$  is cofree. If  $a_i > e_j$  for some  $j$  and any  $i$ , then  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)_0$  is non-trivial. If there exists some  $j$  such that  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a}_j < \dim Y$ , then  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)_0$  contains an infinite dimensional space.*

We give a couple of examples.

### Example 2.1

Let  $G = SL_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and let  $P$  be the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  with adjoint action. We denote a maximal torus of  $G$  by  $T_n$  and its Lie algebra by  $\mathfrak{t}_n$ . Then the principal isotropy group of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  is  $T_n$  and  $B = (\mathfrak{sl}_n)^{T_n} = \mathfrak{t}_n$ .  $W = N(T_n)/T_n$  is the Weyl group which is isomorphic to  $S_n$ . The

algebraic quotient space  $Y$  is  $\mathfrak{sl}_n//G \cong \mathfrak{t}_n//W \cong \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$  and  $V$  is of codimension one. Hence the ideal of  $V$  is generated by a single homogeneous polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{O}(Y) \cong \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}]$ . Since the general fiber of the quotient map of  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  is isomorphic to  $G/T_n$ ,  $\mathfrak{sl}_n$  has generically closed orbits. Let  $\varphi_1$  be the standard representation space of  $G$  and  $\varphi_1^m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) be the symmetric tensor product  $S^m(\varphi_1)$ . Let  $Q = \varphi_1^m$ . Then  $Q$  is multiplicity free with respect to  $T_n$ . Hence  $L = T \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^q$  for  $q = \dim Q = \binom{n+m-1}{m}$ .

Consider the case  $n = 2$ . Then  $G = SL_2$  and the quotient map is given by the determinant map  $t : P = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2//G \cong \mathbb{A}^1$ . Hence  $\mathcal{O}(Y) = \mathbb{C}[t]$  and  $t$  is, as an element of  $\mathcal{O}(B)^W$ , written as  $t = x^2$  with a coordinate  $x$  on  $B = \mathfrak{t}_2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ . Note that  $T_2 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $W \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . The stratification of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2//G = \mathbb{A}^1$  consists of two strata,  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$ . Hence  $V = \{0\}$  and  $f = t$ . Let  $R_m$  be the  $SL_2$ -module of binary forms of degree  $m$ . Then  $P = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \cong R_2$  and  $Q \cong R_m$ . As a  $T_2 = \mathbb{C}^*$ -module,  $Q = \bigoplus_{l=0}^m Q_{m-2l}$  where  $Q_{m-2l}$  is an irreducible  $T_2$ -module with weight  $m-2l$ . As a  $G$ -module,  $\mathfrak{m} = \text{End } R_m \cong (R_m)^* \otimes R_m \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^m R_{2l}$ . Hence,

$$\mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^G \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^m (\mathcal{O}(R_2) \otimes R_{2l})^G = \bigoplus_{l=0}^m M_l$$

and

$$\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}_2)^W \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^m (\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}_2) \otimes R_{2l}^{T_2})^W = \bigoplus_{l=0}^m N_l$$

where  $M_l := (\mathcal{O}(R_2) \otimes R_{2l})^G$  and  $N_l := (\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}_2) \otimes R_{2l}^{T_2})^W$ . The modules  $M_l$  and  $N_l$  are free over  $\mathcal{O}(Y) = \mathbb{C}[t]$  of rank one. In fact, since  $M_l \cong \text{Mor}(R_2, R_{2l})^G$ , the homogeneous generator  $A_l$  of  $M_l$  is given by the  $l$ -th power map and the homogeneous generator  $C_l$  of  $N_l = (\mathbb{C}[x] \otimes R_{2l}^{T_2})^W$  is given by  $1 \otimes e_l$  for  $l$  even,  $x \otimes e_l$  for  $l$  odd, where  $e_l$  is a base of  $R_{2l}^{T_2} \cong \mathbb{C}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^G$  and  $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}_2)^W$  are free modules over  $\mathbb{C}[t]$  of rank  $m+1$ . Note that  $\deg A_l = l$  and  $\deg C_l$  is 0 for  $l$  even, 1 for  $l$  odd. Since  $\mathbb{C}[t]$  is a principal ideal domain,  $\mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_1^G$  is also free over  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ . A homogeneous basis of  $\mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_1^G$  over  $\mathbb{C}[t]$  is  $\{tA_0, A_l; l = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  since  $\mathfrak{sl}_2^G = \{0\}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{b} = \sqrt{(t)} = (x)$ , a homogeneous basis of  $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}_2)_1^W$  over  $\mathbb{C}[t]$  is  $\{tC_0, tC_{2l}, C_{2l-1}; l = 1, \dots, m/2\}$  for  $m$  even,  $\{tC_{2l}, C_{2l+1}; l = 0, 1, \dots, [m/2]\}$  for  $m$  odd. Here,  $[a]$  denotes the largest integer not exceeding  $a$ . Since  $\beta_*(A_l) = t^{[l/2]}C_l$ ,

$$C_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(\varphi_1^m) \cong \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}_2)_1^W / \beta_* \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_1^G \cong \mathbb{C}^p$$

where  $p = \sum_{l=1}^m [(l-1)/2] = [(m-1)^2/4]$ . Since it follows from  $\mathfrak{sl}_2//G \cong \mathbb{A}^1$  that  $\text{VEC}_G(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \varphi_1^m) = \text{VEC}_G(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \varphi_1^m)_0$ , we have by Theorem 2.2

**Proposition 2.5.** [23] *Let  $G = SL_2$ . Then*

$$\text{VEC}_G(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \varphi_1^m) \cong \mathbb{C}^p \quad \text{for } p = [(m-1)^2/4].$$

Next, consider the case that  $n \geq 3$ . As a  $G$ -module,

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{End } \varphi_1^m \cong (\varphi_1^m)^* \otimes \varphi_1^m \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^m \mathfrak{sl}_n^l$$

where  $\mathfrak{sl}_n^l$  is the irreducible component of the highest weight in  $S^l(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ . Hence

$$\mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^G \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^m (\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_n^l)^G = \bigoplus_{l=0}^m M_l$$

where  $M_l := (\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_n^l)^G$ . Similarly,

$$\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}_n)^W \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^m (\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}_n) \otimes (\mathfrak{sl}_n^l)^{T_n})^W = \bigoplus_{l=0}^m N_l$$

where  $N_l := (\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}_n) \otimes (\mathfrak{sl}_n^l)^{T_n})^W$ . It is known that  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  is cofree (cf. [24]). Thus  $M_l$  and  $N_l$ , hence  $\mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^G$  and  $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}_n)^W$ , are finite free modules over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \cong \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S^d(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ ,  $M_l \cong \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (S^d(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_n^l)^G$ . Hence every homogeneous generator of  $M_l$  has degree  $\geq l$ . The homomorphism  $\beta_* : \mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}_n)^W$  maps  $M_l$  to  $N_l$ . Set  $M(1)_l := (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{sl}_n^l)^G$  and  $N(1)_l := (\mathfrak{b} \otimes (\mathfrak{sl}_n^l)^{T_n})^W$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)_1^G = \bigoplus_{l=0}^m M(1)_l$  and  $\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}_n)_1^W = \bigoplus_{l=0}^m N(1)_l$ . The homomorphism  $\beta_*$  maps  $M(1)_l$  to  $N(1)_l$ . Let  $\{A_i\}$  be a homogeneous generating system of  $M(1)_m$  over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  and  $\{C_j\}$  be a homogenous basis of  $N(1)_m$  over  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Then  $\beta_*(A_i) = \sum_j a_{ij} C_j$  for  $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Since  $\deg A_i \geq m$  for all  $i$  and  $\deg C_j < |W| + \deg f$  [8, II,3.6],  $\deg a_{ij} > 0$  if  $m$  is sufficiently large. Hence  $N(1)_m / \beta_*(M(1)_m)$  is non-trivial for  $m \gg 0$ . We have by Theorem 2.2;

**Proposition 2.6.** (cf. [6]) *Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $G = SL_n$ . For  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\text{VEC}_G(\mathfrak{sl}_n, \varphi_1^m)_0 \cong C_{\mathfrak{sl}_n}(\varphi_1^m)$ . In particular,  $\text{VEC}_G(\mathfrak{sl}_n, \varphi_1^m)_0$  is non-trivial for a sufficiently large  $m$ .*

**REMARK** In order to show that  $C_{\mathfrak{sl}_n}(\varphi_1^m)$  contains an infinite dimensional module for  $n \geq 3$ , we need to prove that the height of the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}_j$  generated by  $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  (cf. Lemma 2.3) is smaller than  $n - 1$ . However, to calculate generators of  $N(1)_l$  and  $M(1)_l$  by hand is a hard job.

Next is a new example of  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)_0$  containing an infinite dimensional space.

### Example 2.2

Let  $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$  and  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  where  $P_i$  is a  $G_i$ -module with one-dimensional quotient for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $P$  is a  $G$ -module with trivial  $G_i$ -actions on  $P_j$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $P//G \cong \mathbb{A}^2$ . A principal isotropy group  $H$  of  $P$  is  $H_1 \times H_2$  where  $H_i$  is a principal isotropy group of  $P_i$ . The complement of the principal stratum in  $P//G \cong \mathbb{A}^2$  is a union of two lines. Let  $Q_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be a  $G_i$ -module. By the statement below Theorem 2.2, there are isomorphisms  $\text{VEC}_{G_i}(P_i, Q_i) \cong C_{P_i}(Q_i) \cong \mathbb{C}^{p_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2$ . Then  $Q$  is multiplicity free with respect

to  $H$  when  $Q_i$  is multiplicity free with respect to  $H_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\dim(Q_1^{H_1} \oplus Q_2^{H_2}) \leq 1$ . In this case,  $C_P(Q)$  is easily computed and isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[u_1]^{p_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}[u_2]^{p_1}$  where  $\mathcal{O}(P_1)^{G_1} = \mathbb{C}[u_1]$  and  $\mathcal{O}(P_2)^{G_2} = \mathbb{C}[u_2]$ . By Theorem 2.2, we have with the above notation

**Theorem 2.7.** *Suppose that  $Q_i$  is multiplicity free with respect to  $H_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\dim(Q_1^{H_1} \oplus Q_2^{H_2}) \leq 1$ . Then there is a map*

$$\mathrm{VEC}_G(P_1 \oplus P_2, Q_1 \oplus Q_2)_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[u_1]^{p_2} \oplus \mathbb{C}[u_2]^{p_1},$$

which is an isomorphism when  $P_i$  has generically closed orbits for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**REMARK** One can show that the map in Theorem 2.7 is surjective for any  $Q$  and any  $P_i$  by using the fact that  $Z_f = GP_f^H$  for  $Z := \overline{GP^H}$  when  $\mathcal{O}(P)^G = \mathbb{C}[f]$  (cf. [15, 1.1], the remark of Theorem 2.2).

Apply Theorem 2.7 to the case where  $G = SL_2 \times SL_2$ ,  $P = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , and  $Q = \varphi_1^m \oplus \varphi_1^n$ . Since  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  has generically closed orbits and  $\varphi_1^m$  is multiplicity free with respect to a principal isotropy group of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  for  $m \geq 1$ , we have

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $G = SL_2 \times SL_2$ . Then*

$$\mathrm{VEC}_G(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_2, \varphi_1^m \oplus \varphi_1^n)_0 \cong \mathbb{C}[u_1]^{p(n)} \oplus \mathbb{C}[u_2]^{p(m)}.$$

Here  $p(n) = [(n-1)^2/4]$  and either  $m$  or  $n$  is odd.

### 3. $G$ -VECTOR BUNDLES OVER $G \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ -VARIETIES

In this section, we consider in the case that  $V$  is not connected. Such a case occurs when  $X$  is a  $G$ -stable affine quadric with fixpoints and one-dimensional quotient. As is remarked in the introduction, when  $G$  is connected, such an affine quadric  $X$  is  $G$ -isomorphic to an affine quadric

$$X_P = \{(x, v) \in P \oplus \mathbb{C} \mid u(x) + v^2 = 1\}$$

where  $P$  is an orthogonal  $G$ -module with  $P//G \cong \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $u(x)$  is an invariant quadratic form on  $P$  such that  $\mathcal{O}(P)^G = \mathbb{C}[u]$ . Recall that  $X_P$  is viewed as a  $G \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ -variety. We generalize this situation. Let  $P$  be anew a  $G$ -module as in section 2, i.e.,  $P$  is a  $G$ -module such that  $\dim P//G \geq 1$  and the ideal of the complement of the principal stratum in  $P//G$  is generated by a homogeneous polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{O}(P)^G$ . For  $d \geq 2$ , define a  $G$ -stable hypersurface  $X_P(d)$  as follows;

$$X_P(d) := \{(x, v) \in P \oplus \mathbb{C} \mid f(x) + v^d = 1\}.$$



Then the fixpoint locus  $X_P(d)^G$  consists of  $d$  connected components. The complement  $V$  of the principal stratum in  $X_P(d)//G$  has  $d$  connected components and each connected component of  $\pi_{X_P(d)}^{-1}(V)$  contains one connected component of  $X_P(d)^G$ . A principal isotropy group  $H$  of  $X_P(d)$  is a principal isotropy group of  $P$ . As in the case of affine quadrics,  $X_P(d)$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ -action induced by a (non-trivial) linear action of  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Hence  $X_P(d)$  is viewed as a  $G \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ -variety. Then  $X_P(d)/(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$  is  $G$ -isomorphic to  $P$ . Let  $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_d} : X_P(d) \rightarrow X_P(d)/(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}) \cong P$  be the quotient by  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $[E] \in \text{VEC}_G(P, Q)$  for a  $G$ -module  $Q$ . Then  $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_d}^* E$  is a  $G \times (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ -vector bundle over  $X_P(d)$ . Viewing  $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_d}^* E$  as a  $G$ -vector bundle, we obtain a map

$$\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_d}^* : \text{VEC}_G(P, Q) \rightarrow \text{VEC}_G(X_P(d), Q).$$

Since  $E \cong \pi_{\mathbb{Z}_d}^* E/(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$  [9], we have

**Lemma 3.1.** *The map  $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_d}^*$  is injective.*

Note that  $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_d}^*$  maps  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)_0$  to  $\text{VEC}_G(X_P(d), Q)_0$ . By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.2, we obtain

**Theorem 3.2.** *The map  $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_d}^*$  induces an injection*

$$\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)_0 \rightarrow \text{VEC}_G(X_P(d), Q)_0.$$

Hence, if  $\Psi_{P,Q}$  in Theorem 2.2 is a surjection onto a non-trivial  $C_P(Q)$ , then  $\text{VEC}_G(X_P(d), Q)_0$  is non-trivial.

If we take as an  $f$  in the definition of  $X_P(d)$  any  $G$ -invariant polynomial on  $P$ , then we obtain Theorem 2 in the introduction.

**REMARK** Theorem 3.2 is generalized as follows. Let  $P_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be a  $G_i$ -module such that  $\dim P_1//G_1 \geq 1$  and  $\dim P_2//G_2 = 1$ . Let  $t$  be a homogeneous generator of  $\mathcal{O}(P_2)^{G_2}$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{O}(P_1)^{G_1}$ , define a  $G_1 \times G_2$ -stable hypersurface  $X(f)$  as follows:

$$X(f) := \{(x_1, x_2) \in P_1 \oplus P_2 \mid f(x_1) + t(x_2) = 1\}.$$

Then the quotient map  $\pi_{G_2} : X(f) \rightarrow X(f)//G_2 \cong P_1$  induces an injection for a  $G_1$ -module  $Q$

$$\pi_{G_2}^* : \text{VEC}_{G_1}(P_1, Q) \rightarrow \text{VEC}_{G_1}(X(f), Q).$$

Recall that  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q)_0 = \text{VEC}_G(P, Q) \cong \mathbb{C}^p$  when  $P$  has one-dimensional quotient. Hence we have by Theorem 3.2

**Corollary 3.3.** *Suppose that  $X_P$  is a  $G$ -stable affine quadric defined as above. Then  $\text{VEC}_G(X_P, Q)_0$  contains a space isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^p$  where  $p$  is a nonnegative integer such that  $\text{VEC}_G(P, Q) \cong \mathbb{C}^p$ .*

We give a couple of examples.

**Example 3.1**

Let  $G = SL_2$ . We use the same notation as in Example 2.1. Let  $P = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $Q = \varphi_1^m$  for  $m \geq 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^G = \mathbb{C}[t]$  with an invariant polynomial  $t$  of degree 2 and  $\text{VEC}_G(\mathfrak{sl}_2, \varphi_1^m) \cong \mathbb{C}^p$  for  $p = \lfloor \frac{(m-1)^2}{4} \rfloor$ . Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -stable affine quadric  $\{(x, v) \in \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C} \mid t + v^2 = 1\}$ . Then by Corollary 3.3,

**Proposition 3.4.** *With the above notation,  $\text{VEC}_G(X, \varphi_1^m)_0$  contains  $\mathbb{C}^p$  for  $p = \lfloor \frac{(m-1)^2}{4} \rfloor$ .*

REMARK It is known that  $\text{VEC}_G(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}, \varphi_1^m)_0 \cong \mathbb{C}[v]^p$  by [16].

**Example 3.2**

Let  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ ,  $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$ , and  $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2$  as in Example 2.2. Let  $\mathcal{O}(P_1)^{G_1} = \mathbb{C}[u_1]$  and  $\mathcal{O}(P_2)^{G_2} = \mathbb{C}[u_2]$  where  $u_i$  is a  $G_i$ -invariant homogeneous polynomial on  $P_i$ . Then  $P//G \cong \mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[u_1, u_2]$  and the complement of the principal stratum is defined by  $u_1 u_2 = 0$ . We define for  $d \geq 2$

$$X_d := \{(x_1, x_2, v) \in P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus \mathbb{C} \mid u_1(x_1)u_2(x_2) + v^d = 1\}.$$

Then by the remark of Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 3.2,

**Proposition 3.5.** *Under the notation and the assumptions in Theorem 2.7,  $\text{VEC}_G(X_d, Q_1 \oplus Q_2)_0$  contains an infinite dimensional space if  $p_1 + p_2 > 0$ .*

**Example 3.3**

Let  $G = SL_3$  and  $P = \mathfrak{sl}_3$  with adjoint action. Then  $P//G \cong \mathbb{A}^2$  and the complement of the principal stratum in  $P//G$  is defined by an invariant homogeneous polynomial  $f$  of degree 6. For  $d \geq 2$ , define

$$X_d = \{(x, v) \in \mathfrak{sl}_3 \oplus \mathbb{C} \mid f + v^d = 1\}.$$

It is known that  $\text{VEC}_G(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{sl}_3)_0$  contains a space isomorphic to  $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  which is the module of Kähler differentials of  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  [17]. Hence we have by Theorem 3.2

**Proposition 3.6.**  *$\text{VEC}_G(X_d, \mathfrak{sl}_3)_0$  contains an uncountably-infinite dimensional space.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass, S. Haboush, Some equivariant K-theory of affine algebraic group actions, *Comm. in Algebra* **15** (1987), 181–217
- [2] H. Bass, S. Haboush, Linearizing certain reductive group actions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc* **292** (1985), 463–482.
- [3] M. Doebeli, Linear models for reductive group actions on affine quadrics, *Bull. Soc. France* **122** (1994), 505–531
- [4] M. Doebeli, Reductive group actions on affine quadrics with 1-dimensional quotient: linearization when a linear model exists, *Trans. Groups* **1** (1996), 187–214
- [5] J. Gubeladze, Anderson’s conjecture and the maximal monoid class over which projective modules are free, *Math. U.S.S.R. Sbornik* **63** (1988), 165–180
- [6] F. Knop, Nichtlinearisierbare Operationen halbeinfacher Gruppen auf affinen Räumen, *Invent. Math.* **105** (1991), 217–220
- [7] H. Kraft,  $G$ -vector bundles and the linearization problem in “Group actions and invariant theory” CMS Conference Proceedings, **10** (1989) 111–123
- [8] H. Kraft, *Geometrische Methoden in der Invariantentheorie*, Aspekte der Mathematik D1, Vieweg Verlag, Braunschweig, (1984)
- [9] H. Kraft, Algebraic automorphisms of affine space in “Topological methods in algebraic transformation groups” *Progress in Math.* **80**, Birkhäuser (1989)
- [10] H. Kraft, Challenging problems on affine  $n$ -space, *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Vol. 1994/95, *Asterisque* No. 237 (1996) Exp. no. 802, 5, 295–317
- [11] H. Kraft, G. Schwarz, Reductive group actions with one-dimensional quotient, *I.H.E.S Publ. Math.* **76** (1992), 1–97
- [12] D. Luna, Slice etales, *Bull.Soc.Math.France*, Memoire 33 (1973), 81–105
- [13] D. Luna, Adhérences d’orbite et invariants, *Invent.Math.* **29** (1975), 231–238
- [14] D. Luna, R. Richardson, A generalization of the Chevalley restriction theorem, *Duke. Math. J.* **46** (1979), 487–496
- [15] K. Masuda, Moduli of equivariant algebraic vector bundles over affine cones with one-dimensional quotient, *Osaka J. Math.* **32** (1995), 1065–1085.
- [16] K. Masuda, Moduli of equivariant algebraic vector bundles over a product of affine varieties, *Duke Math. J.* **88** (1997), 181–199
- [17] K. Masuda, Equivariant algebraic vector bundles over the adjoint  $SL_3$ -module, preprint
- [18] M. Masuda, T. Petrie, Stably trivial equivariant algebraic vector bundles, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1995), 687–714.
- [19] M. Masuda, L. Moser-Jauslin, T. Petrie, The equivariant Serre problem for abelian groups, *Topology* **35** (1996), 329–334
- [20] M. Masuda, L. Moser-Jauslin, T. Petrie, Invariants of equivariant algebraic vector bundles and inequalities for dominant weights, *Topology* **37** (1998), 161–177
- [21] K. Mederer, Moduli of  $G$ -equivariant vector bundles, Ph.D thesis, Brandeis University, 1995.

- [22] D. Quillen, Projective modules over polynomial rings, *Invent. Math.*, **36** (1976), 167–171.
- [23] G. Schwarz, Exotic algebraic group actions, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **33** (1989), 89–94.
- [24] G.W. Schwarz, Representations of simple Lie groups with a free module of covariants, *Invent. Math.*, **50** (1978), 1–12.
- [25] A. Suslin, Projective modules over a polynomial ring, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **26** (1976) (=Soviet Math. Doklady, **17** (1976), 1160–1164).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HIMEJI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2167  
SHOSHA, HIMEJI 671-2201, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* `kayo@sci.himeji-tech.ac.jp`